UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,010	07/15/2003	Scott T. Broadley	BROADRE.23CP1C1	9107	
20995 KNORRF MA	7590 01/02/2007 RTENS OLSON & BEAI	EXAMINER			
2040 MAIN ST	TREET	BELL, BRUCE F			
FOURTEENTI IRVINE, CA 9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1746			
			<del></del>	<u>.</u>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	ONTHS	01/02/2007	ELECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/02/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

/
$\prec$
$\nu$

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/621,010	BROADLEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bruce F. Bell	1746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
<b>4</b> \I⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,8-17,21,22,34-38,41 and 42</u> is	s/are rejected.				
·	Claim(s) 3,6,7,18-20,23-33,39 and 40 is/are ob	•				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · ·	·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
. a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Addashaas						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/04; 10/15/04.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					
, ape	TOO TO	5, L. J Guier				

Application/Control Number: 10/621,010

Art Unit: 1746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-12, 14-17, 22, 34-38 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 4599409. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent encompass those of the instant invention as set forth. Instant claim 1 differs from the patented claims in that it sets forth that the liquid junction has discrete nanochannels of 100,000 or less and the patented claim sets forth that it has an array of nanochannels. Therefore, it appears that the patented claim encompasses that of the instant claim. Even though the instant claim 1 sets forth that it has a chamber, it is inherent in the patented claim, since the reference electrode would not be able to hold the microarray,

Art Unit: 1746

the electrolyte and the sample solution without there being some type of vessel to contain the fluids. The formula set forth in instant claim 4 is not disclosed in the patented claims, however, one having ordinary skill in the art based on the linear velocity would have the ability to determine this based on the nanoarray being utilized. Therefore, the patented claims encompass the instant invention claims as presented.

3. Claims 1, 8-17, 21, 22, 34-38, 41, 42 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9, 14-21 of copending Application No. 10/613976 (US 2004/0195098). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims encompass those of the instant application claims. The patented claims set forth a liquid junction having a discrete nanochannel array that is situated between a pressurized reference electrolyte solution and forming a junction reference electrode. Subsequent claims depending on claim 1 set forth the diameters or widths of the microchannels and the other specifics indicated in the instant claims such as being coated and the polymers used, etc.. The independent claim 20 sets forth the junction member and that it contains the sensing electrode. Even though the exact width range of the holes in the nanochannels as set forth in the instant claims, the patented claims set forth ranges that encompass the ranges of the instant invention. Therefore, provisional obviousness double patenting appears to be proper.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,010

Art Unit: 1746

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 3, 6, 7, 18-20, 23-33, 39, 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The specifics of the dependent limitations objected to above are not found in the prior art as set forth.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce F. Bell whose telephone number is 571-272-1296.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,010

Art Unit: 1746

BFB

December 26, 2006

Bruce Bell

Bruce F. Bell Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746 Page 5